# **Covering Number Estimates**

Mathematical Analysis of Machine Learning Algorithms (Chapter 5)

## **Packing Number**

### Definition 1 (Packing Number)

Let  $(\mathcal{V}, d)$  be a pseudometric space with metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ . A finite subset  $\mathcal{G}(\epsilon) \subset \mathcal{G}$  is an  $\epsilon$ -packing of  $\mathcal{G}$  if  $d(\phi, \phi') > \epsilon$  for all  $\phi, \phi' \in \mathcal{G}(\epsilon)$ . The  $\epsilon$ -packing number of  $\mathcal{G}$ , denoted by  $M(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, d)$ , is the largest cardinality of  $\epsilon$ -packing of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

# Covering Number versus Packing Number

Theorem 2 (Thm 5.2)

For all  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\mathsf{N}(\epsilon,\mathcal{G},d) \leq \mathsf{M}(\epsilon,\mathcal{G},d) \leq \mathsf{N}(\epsilon/2,\mathcal{G},d).$$

It is often convenient to use packing number because an  $\epsilon$ -packing always belong to  $\mathcal{G}$ . This means that any assumption of  $\mathcal{G}$  holds for an  $\epsilon$ -packing of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

### Proof of Theorem 2

Let  $\mathcal{G}(\epsilon) = \{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_M\} \subset \mathcal{G}$  be a maximal  $\epsilon$ -packing of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Given any  $\phi \in \mathcal{G}$ , by the definition of maximality, we know that there exists  $\phi_j \in \mathcal{G}(\epsilon)$  so that  $d(\phi_j, \phi) \leq \epsilon$ . This means that  $\mathcal{G}(\epsilon)$  is also an  $\epsilon$  cover of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Therefore  $N(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, d) \leq M$ . This proves the first inequality. On the other hand, let  $\mathcal{G}'(\epsilon/2)$  be an  $\epsilon/2$  cover of  $\mathcal{G}$ . By definition, for any  $\phi_j \in \mathcal{G}(\epsilon)$ , there exists  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\phi_j) \in \mathcal{G}'(\epsilon/2)$  such that  $d(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\phi_j), \phi_j) \leq \epsilon/2$ . For  $j \neq i$ , we know that  $d(\phi_i, \phi_j) > \epsilon$ , and thus triangle inequality implies that

$$\mathsf{d}( ilde{g}(\phi_j),\phi_i) \geq \mathsf{d}(\phi_i,\phi_j) - \mathsf{d}( ilde{g}(\phi_j),\phi_j) > \epsilon/2 \geq \mathsf{d}( ilde{g}(\phi_i),\phi_i).$$

Therefore  $\tilde{g}(\phi_i) \neq \tilde{g}(\phi_j)$ . This implies the map  $\phi_j \in \mathcal{G}(\epsilon) \rightarrow \tilde{g}(\phi_j) \in \mathcal{G}'(\epsilon/2)$  is one to one. Therefore  $|\mathcal{G}(\epsilon)| \leq |\mathcal{G}'(\epsilon/2)|$ . This proves the second inequality.

## Finite Dimensional Space

#### Theorem 3 (Thm 5.3)

Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be a seminorm on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Let  $B(r) = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^k : \|z\| \le r\}$  be the  $\|\cdot\|$ -ball with radius r. Then

$$M(\epsilon, B(r), \|\cdot\|) \leq (1+2r/\epsilon)^k.$$

Moreover,

 $N(\epsilon, B(r), \|\cdot\|) \ge (r/\epsilon)^k.$ 

## Proof of Theorem 3 (I/II)

Let  $\{z_1, \ldots, z_M\} \subset B(r)$  be a maximal  $\epsilon$  packing of B(r). Let  $B_j = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^k : ||z - z_j|| \le \epsilon/2\}$ , then  $B_j \cap B_k = \emptyset$  for  $j \ne k$  and  $B_j \subset B(r + \epsilon/2)$  for all *j*. It follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{M} \operatorname{volume}(B_j) = \operatorname{volume}(\bigcup_{j=1}^{M} B_j) \leq \operatorname{volume}(B(r + \epsilon/2)).$$

Let v = volume(B(1)). Since  $\text{volume}(B_j) = (\epsilon/2)^k v$  and  $\text{volume}(B(r + \epsilon/2)) = (r + \epsilon/2)^k v$ , we have

$$M(\epsilon/2)^k v \leq (r+\epsilon/2)^k v.$$

This implies the first bound.

# Proof of Theorem 3 (II/II)

Let  $\{z_1, \ldots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  be a cover of B(r). If we define  $B_j = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^k : ||z - z_j|| \le \epsilon\}$ , then  $B(r) \subset \cup_j B_j$ . Therefore

$$\operatorname{volume}(B(r)) \leq \operatorname{volume}(\bigcup_{j=1}^{N} B_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N} \operatorname{volume}(B_j).$$

Let v = volume(B(1)). Since  $\text{volume}(B_j) = (\epsilon)^k v$  and  $\text{volume}(B(r)) = r^k v$ , we have

$$r^k v \leq N \epsilon^k v.$$

This implies the second bound.

### Lipschitz Function Class

#### Theorem 4 (Thm 5.4)

Consider

$$\{\phi(\boldsymbol{w},\boldsymbol{Z}):\boldsymbol{w}\in\Omega\}\,,\tag{1}$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  is a compact set.

Assume that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  is a compact set so that  $\Omega \in B(r)$  with respect to a norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Assume for all z,  $\phi(w, z)$  is  $\gamma(z)$  Lipschitz with respect to w:

$$|\phi(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z}) - \phi(\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{z})| \leq \gamma(\mathbf{z}) \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}'\|.$$

Given  $p \ge 1$ , let  $\gamma_p = (\mathbb{E}_{Z \sim D} | \gamma(Z) |^p)^{1/p}$ . Then

$$N_{[]}(2\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, L_{p}(\mathcal{D})) \leq (1 + 2\gamma_{p}r/\epsilon)^{k}.$$

### Proof of Theorem 4

Let  $\{w_1, \ldots, w_M\}$  be an  $\epsilon/\gamma_p$  packing of  $\Omega$ . Then it is also an  $\epsilon/\gamma_p$  cover of  $\Omega$ . Let

$$\phi_j^L(z) = \phi(w_j, z) - \gamma(z) \epsilon / \gamma_p$$

and

$$\phi_j^U(z) = \phi(w_j, z) + \gamma(z)\epsilon/\gamma_p.$$

Then  $\{[\phi_j^L, \phi_j^U] : j = 1, ..., M\}$  is an  $2\epsilon L_p(\mathcal{D})$ -bracketing cover.

We can now apply Theorem 3 to obtain the desired result.

# Empirical L<sub>1</sub> Covering of VC-class

### Theorem 5 (Thm 5.5)

If a binary valued function class  $\mathcal{G} = \{\phi(w, Z) : w \in \Omega\}$  is a VC class, then for  $\epsilon \leq 1$ :

$$\ln M(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, L_1(\mathcal{S}_n)) \leq 3d + d \ln(\ln(4/\epsilon)/\epsilon).$$

### Proof of Theorem 5 (I/II)

Given  $S_n = \{Z_1, \ldots, Z_n\}$ . Let  $Q = \{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_m\}$  be a maximal  $\epsilon L_1(S_n)$  packing of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Q is also an  $L_1 \epsilon$ -cover of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Consider the empirical distribution, denoted by  $S_n$ , which puts a probability of 1/n on each  $Z_i$ . We have for  $j \neq k$ :

$$\Pr_{Z\sim\mathcal{S}_n}[\phi_j(Z)=\phi_k(Z)]=1-\mathbb{E}_{Z\sim\mathcal{S}_n}|\phi_j(Z)-\phi_k(Z)|<1-\epsilon.$$

Now consider random sample with replacement from  $S_n$  for T times to obtain samples  $\{Z_{i_1}, \ldots, Z_{i_T}\}$ . We have

$$\Pr(\{\forall \ell : \phi_j(Z_{i_\ell}) = \phi_k(Z_{i_\ell})\}) < (1 - \epsilon)^T \le e^{-T\epsilon}$$

That is, with probability larger than  $1 - e^{-T\epsilon}$ ,

$$\exists \ell : \phi_j(Z_{i_\ell}) \neq \phi_k(Z_{i_\ell}).$$

Taking the union bound for all  $j \neq k$ , we have with probability larger than  $1 - {m \choose 2} \cdot e^{-T\epsilon}$ , for all  $j \neq k$ :

$$\exists \ell : \phi_j(Z_{i_\ell}) \neq \phi_k(Z_{i_\ell}).$$

### Proof of Theorem 5 (II/II)

If we take  $T = \lceil \ln(m^2)/\epsilon \rceil$ , then  $e^{-T\epsilon} {m \choose 2} \le 1$ . Then there exists T samples  $\{Z_{i_{\ell}} : \ell = 1, ..., T\}$  such that  $\phi_j \neq \phi_k$  for all  $j \neq k$  when restricted to these samples. Since VC(G) = d, we obtain from Sauer's lemma:

$$m \leq \max[2, eT/d]^d \leq \max[2, e(1 + \ln(m^2)/\epsilon)/d]^d.$$

The theorem holds automatically when  $m \leq 2^d$ . Otherwise,

$$\ln m \leq d \ln(1/\epsilon) + d \ln((e\epsilon/d) + (2e/d) \ln(m)).$$

Let  $u = d^{-1} \ln m - \ln(1/\epsilon) - \ln \ln(4/\epsilon)$  and let  $\epsilon \le 1$ , we can obtain the following bound by using the upper bound of  $\ln m$ :

$$\begin{split} u &\leq -\ln\ln(4/\epsilon) + \ln((e\epsilon/d) + 2e(u + \ln(1/\epsilon) + \ln\ln(4/\epsilon))) \\ &\leq \ln\frac{2e(u + 0.5 + \ln(1/\epsilon) + \ln\ln(4/\epsilon))}{\ln(4/\epsilon)} \leq \ln(4u + 7), \end{split}$$

where the last inequality is obtained by taking sup over  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ . By solving this inequality we obtain a bound  $u \leq 3$ . This implies the desired result.

## A More Refined Result

### Theorem 6 ([Haussler, 1995], Thm 5.6)

Let G be a binary valued function class with VC(G) = d. Then

$$\ln M(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, L_1(\mathcal{S}_n)) \leq 1 + \ln(d+1) + d\ln(2e/\epsilon).$$

D. Haussler (1995). "Sphere packing numbers for subsets of the Boolean *n*-cube with bounded Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension". In: *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* 69.2, pp. 217–232.

#### Corollary 7 (Cor 5.7)

If  $VC(\mathcal{G}) = d$ , then for all distributions  $\mathcal{D}$  over Z, we have

$$\ln N(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, L_p(\mathcal{D})) \leq 1 + \ln(d+1) + d\ln(2e/\epsilon^p)$$

for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$  and  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

# VC-Subgraph Class

One may extend the concept of VC dimension to real valued functions by introducing the definition of VC subgraph class.

#### **Definition 8**

A real valued function class of  $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ 

$$\mathcal{G} = \{\phi(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{Z}) : \mathbf{w} \in \Omega\}$$

is a VC-subgraph class, if the binary function class

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{subgraph}} = \{\mathbb{1}(t < \phi(w, z)) : w \in \Omega\}$$

defined on  $(z, t) \in \mathcal{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$  is a VC class. The VC dimension (some times also called pseudo-dimension) of  $\mathcal{G}$  is

$$VC(\mathcal{G}) = VC(\mathcal{G}_{sub-graph}).$$

### **Example: Linear Functions**

### Example 9

The d dimensional linear functions of the form

$$f_w(x) = w^\top x$$

is VC subgraph class of VC dimension d + 1. This is because  $w^{\top}x - t$  is linear function in d + 1 dimension, and we have shown that it has VC dimension d + 1.

### Example: Composition with Monotone Function

### Example 10

If  $\mathcal{F} = \{f(w, x) : w \in \Omega\}$  is a VC subgraph class and *h* is monotone function, then

$$h\circ \mathcal{F}=\{h(f(w,x)):w\in \Omega\}$$

is a VC subgraph class with

$$\operatorname{VC}(h \circ \mathcal{F}) \leq \operatorname{VC}(\mathcal{F}).$$

In particular, if  $f(w, x) = w^{\top} x$  is a *d*-dimensional linear function, then h(f(w, x)) has VC dimension d + 1.

# Covering Number of VC-Subgraph Class

#### Theorem 11 (Thm 5.11)

Assume that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a VC subgraph class, with VC dimension d, and all  $\phi \in \mathcal{G}$  are bounded:  $\phi(Z) \in [0, 1]$ . Then for any distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  over Z,  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$  and  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , we have

$$\ln N(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, L_{\rho}(\mathcal{D})) \leq 1 + \ln(d+1) + d\ln(2e/\epsilon^{\rho}).$$

Moreover,

 $\ln N_{\infty}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, n) \leq d \ln \max[2, en/(d\epsilon)].$ 

# Proof of Theorem 11 (I/II)

Let *U* be a random variable distributed uniformly over [0, 1]. Then for all  $a \in (0, 1)$ :  $\mathbb{E}_U \mathbb{1}(U \le a) = a$ . Thus for all  $\phi, \phi' \in \mathcal{G}$ :

$$egin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} | \phi(Z) - \phi'(Z) |^{
ho} \ =& \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} | \mathbb{E}_{U} [\mathbbm{1}(U \leq \phi(Z)) - \mathbbm{1}(U \leq \phi'(Z))] |^{
ho} \ \leq& \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbb{E}_{U} | \mathbbm{1}(U \leq \phi(Z)) - \mathbbm{1}(U \leq \phi'(Z)) |^{
ho}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality used the Jensen's inequality. Therefore

$$\ln N(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, L_{p}(\mathcal{D})) \leq \ln N(\epsilon, G_{\text{subgraph}}, L_{p}(\mathcal{D} \times U(0, 1))).$$

This leads to the first desired bound.

# Proof of Theorem 11 (II/II)

The second bound can be proved by discretizing *U* into intervals with thresholds  $\min(1, \epsilon(2k + 1))$  for k = 0, 1, ... with no more than  $\lceil (2\epsilon)^{-1} \rceil \leq 1/\epsilon$  thresholds. This gives an  $\epsilon$ -cover of *U* in Euclidean distance.

We can then approximate  $\mathbb{E}_U$  by average over the thresholds to get  $\epsilon$   $L_{\infty}$  cover with the discretization. Let the set of thresholds be U'.

If  $\mathcal{D}$  contain *n* data points, then  $\mathcal{D} \times U'$  contains at most  $n|U'| \le n/\epsilon$  points, and one may apply Sauer's lemma to obtain a cover on these points. This implies the second bound.

### **Regularized Linear Function Class**

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ f(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{w}^\top \psi(\boldsymbol{x}) : \boldsymbol{w} \in \Omega, \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{X} \}$$
(2)

where  $\psi(x)$  is a known feature vector.

#### Theorem 12 (Thm 5.18)

Let  $w = [w_1, w_2, \ldots] \in \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$  and  $\psi(x) = [\psi_1(x), \psi_2(x), \ldots] \in \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$ . Let  $\Omega = \{w : ||w||_2 \le A\}$ . Given a distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Assume there exists  $B_1 \ge B_2 \ge \cdots$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x}\sim\mathcal{D}}\sum_{i\geq j}\psi_i(\boldsymbol{x})^2\leq \boldsymbol{B}_j^2.$$

Define  $\tilde{d}(\epsilon) = \min\{j \ge 0 : AB_{j+1} \le \epsilon\}$ . Then the function class  $\mathcal{F}$  of (2) satisfies:

$$\ln N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_2(\mathcal{D})) \leq \tilde{d}(\epsilon/2) \ln \left(1 + \frac{4AB_1}{\epsilon}\right).$$

### Proof of Theorem 12

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ . Consider  $j = \tilde{d}(\epsilon/2)$  such that  $AB_{j+1} \leq \epsilon/2$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F}_{1} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{j} w_{i} \psi_{i}(x) : w \in \Omega \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{F}_{2} = \left\{ \sum_{i>j} w_{i} \psi_{i}(x) : w \in \Omega \right\}.$$

Since  $||f||_{L_2(\mathcal{D})} \le \epsilon/2$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}_2$ , we have  $N(\epsilon/2, \mathcal{F}, L_2(\mathcal{D})) = 1$ . Moreover, Theorem 3 implies that

$$\ln N(\epsilon/2, \mathcal{F}_1, L_2(\mathcal{D})) \leq \tilde{d}(\epsilon/2) \ln \left(1 + \frac{4AB_0}{\epsilon}\right)$$

Note that  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_1 + \mathcal{F}_2$ , we have

 $\ln N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_2(\mathcal{D})) \leq \ln N(\epsilon/2, \mathcal{F}_1, L_2(\mathcal{D})) + \ln N(\epsilon/2, \mathcal{F}_2, L_2(\mathcal{D})).$ 

This implies the result.

### Example

### Example 13

Assume that  $B_j = j^{-q}$ , then

$$\ln \textit{N}(\epsilon,\mathcal{F},\textit{L}_{2}(\mathcal{D})) = \textit{O}\left(\epsilon^{-q}\ln(1/\epsilon)
ight).$$

If  $B_j = O(c^j)$  for some  $c \in (0, 1)$ , then

$$\ln N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_2(\mathcal{D})) = O\left((\ln(1/\epsilon))^2\right).$$

# $L_2$ Regularized Empirical $L_\infty$ Covering Number

#### Theorem 14 (Thm 5.20)

Assume that  $\Omega = \{w : ||w||_2 \le A\}$  and  $||\psi(x)||_2 \le B$ , then the function class (2) has the following covering number bound:

$$\ln N(\mathcal{F}, \epsilon, L_{\infty}(\mathcal{S}_n)) \leq \frac{36A^2B^2}{\epsilon^2} \ln[2\lceil (4AB/\epsilon) + 2\rceil n + 1].$$

Empirical  $L_{\infty}$  covering number bounds can be used in margin analysis and can be used to derive better bounds in multiclass classification.

# Summary (Chapter 5)

- Packing number and relationship with covering number
- Finite dimensional function classes
- $L_p$  covering for VC class.
- VC-subgraph class.
- Regularized linear function class.